

GRAND RAPIDS COMMUNITY FOUNDATION PROFILE

Community Experience Partnership

Reported by
Dr. Ellis Godard, e.g. research

For
Community Planning and Research, LLC

Funded by
The Atlantic Philanthropies

A profile of the community served by
Grand Rapids Community Foundation
161 Ottawa Avenue NW, 209-C
Grand Rapids, MI 49503
www.grfoundation.org

Joining Strength to Strength
Kate Luckert, Program Director
(P) 616.454.1751; (F) 616.454.6455

This profile report was generated on February 19, 2007.

It is designed to be printed on both sides of pages.

The most current version of this report is available online at:
www.egresearch.com/CPRG/Profile-GRCF.pdf

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In what is the first phase of the Atlantic Philanthropies' *Community Experience Partnership*, 30 community foundations are conducting local community assessments. These assessments are being designed to develop a knowledge base about local-level resources (individuals, organizations, programs and services) and strategies that offer opportunities for deep engagement of older adults as resources for their communities. In support of this first phase, the project contracted for reports on the geographic areas served by each of the thirty community foundations, drawing on Census data to provide demographic profiles of those communities. Where possible, these profiles also include data on the proportions of those communities which are 60 years old and over or, if not 60, then 65 and over*. This document provides the demographic profile for the community served by Grand Rapids Community Foundation, which proposed and was funded for the Joining Strength to Strength.

The communities covered by these thirty foundations vary widely in terms of size, population, and a number of demographic variables profiled in these thirty reports. Collectively, however, those thirty communities provide a representative sample of the entire U.S. population. On many of the variables, differences are nearly indistinguishable. Even where the U.S. figures are several standard errors away from the aggregate figures, the differences still remain notably small. For example, the thirty foundations, in aggregate, have only a slightly lower percentage that is 60 years and older (15.7% vs. 16.3), and are only slightly more female (51.1% vs. 50.9) and more disabled (19.9% vs. 19.3),






* Complete details on various aspects of the data, including the availability of age-based data, are provided in five appendices to this report.

The profiles in aggregate *do* statistically differ according to some measures, however. For example, the profiled communities have, in the aggregate, significantly higher proportions who are foreign born (16.7% vs. 11.1), who have never married (36.3% vs. 33.0), who live below the poverty level (17.3% vs. 14.1), and who did not complete high school (12.1% vs. 10.8) than the total U.S. population.








CONTENTS






BASIC DEMOGRAPHY

-  Age.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
-  Gender
-  Race
-  Ethnicity.....
-  Disability Status






CULTURAL DEMOGRAPHY

-  Language.....
-  Citizenship
-  Education Level
-  Marital Status.....
-  Veteran Status

ECONOMIC DEMOGRAPHY

-  Employment Status.....
-  Industry.....
-  Occupations
-  Income Level.....
-  Poverty

LIVING ARRANGEMENTS

-  Household Type.....
-  Home Ownership
-  Housing Costs
-  Household Size.....
-  Grandchildren in Home.....

ADDENDA


- Appendix A: Methodological Summary
- Appendix B: Data Sources
- Appendix C: Geographic Areas Covered
- Appendix D: Data Limitations.....
- Appendix E: Web References

BASIC DEMOGRAPHY



Age

Age Distribution, in Percent by Range




All Foundations, by Percent 60+
(2000 Census)

Foundation	Percent per Age Range				Population	
	< 5	5-19	20-59	60+	60+	Total
Gulf Coast C.F. Venice	3.9	13.8	43.1	39.3	183,868	467,584
Cape Cod Fndtn.	4.9	17.7	50.6	26.8	72,007	259,079
Northland Fndtn.	5.3	21.0	52.4	21.4	68,342	322,073
C.F. of the Eastern Shore	5.6	20.2	53.9	20.3	37,968	186,608
C.F. of Broward	6.3	19.4	54.5	19.8	321,663	1,623,018
Delaware County C.F.	6.2	21.6	52.8	19.3	106,288	550,864
Montgomery County Fndtn.	6.3	20.0	54.8	18.9	141,815	750,097
Maine C.F.	5.5	20.8	55.0	18.7	238,099	1,274,923
S. MN Initiative Fndtn.	6.2	23.0	52.6	18.2	124,127	682,892
Baltimore C.F.	6.2	20.9	55.1	17.8	261,988	1,475,588
Topeka C.F.	6.8	21.2	54.2	17.8	30,266	169,871
Arizona C.F.	7.5	22.1	53.4	17.0	871,536	5,130,632
Humboldt Area Fndtn.	5.5	21.2	56.4	16.8	28,145	167,047
Rochester Area C.F.	6.3	22.4	54.7	16.7	183,023	1,098,201
Oregon C.F.	6.5	21.1	55.8	16.6	569,557	3,421,399
Fndtn. for the Mid South	7.0	23.0	53.6	16.4	1,635,769	9,987,034
C.F. of Bartholomew County	7.4	21.3	55.0	16.4	11,699	71,435
Princeton Area C.F.	6.3	20.9	56.5	16.3	57,089	350,761
Minneapolis Foundation	6.7	22.5	55.1	15.7	772,278	4,919,479
New York Community Trust	6.8	20.1	57.5	15.6	1,252,206	8,008,278
New Mexico C.F.	7.2	23.9	53.3	15.6	283,837	1,819,046
Chicago Community Trust	7.2	21.5	55.9	15.4	828,485	5,376,741
San Francisco Fndtn.	6.2	18.7	59.7	15.4	635,183	5,191,809
C.F. For SE Michigan	7.0	21.9	55.9	15.3	738,108	4,833,493

Kalamazoo C.F.	6.5	22.2	56.5	14.8	35,255	77,145
Grand Rapids C.F.	7.8	23.6	55.3	13.4	77,057	574,335
California C.F.	7.7	23.2	56.1	13.0	1,233,436	9,519,338
Saint Paul Fndtn.	7.3	23.0	57.1	12.6	134,852	1,068,069
Rose C.F.	7.2	21.1	59.4	12.2	261,940	2,147,554
Dallas Foundation	8.2	22.6	58.2	11.0	244,058	2,218,899
Aggregate of all listed	7.0	21.7	55.6	15.7	11,439,944	72,675,223
Nation as a whole	6.8	21.8	55.1	16.3	45,797,200	281,421,906

 **Gender**

Gender Distribution of Full and Elderly Populations



**All Foundations,
by Percent of 60+ who are Male
(2000 Census)**

Foundation	% of Total		% of 60+		% who are 60+		Total 60+	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Arizona C.F.	49.9	50.1	45.1	54.9	15.4	18.6	393,190	478,346
Gulf Coast C.F. Venice	47.5	52.5	45.1	54.9	37.4	41.1	82,956	100,912
Humboldt Area Fndtn.	50.5	49.5	45.0	55.0	15.0	18.7	12,674	15,471
New Mexico C.F.	49.2	50.8	44.9	55.1	14.3	16.9	127,445	156,392
Oregon C.F.	49.6	50.4	44.0	56.0	14.8	18.5	250,695	318,862
C.F. of the Eastern Shore	48.6	51.4	43.6	56.4	18.2	22.3	16,545	21,423
Northland Fndtn.	49.6	50.4	43.5	56.5	18.7	24.0	29,757	38,585
Rose C.F.	50.0	50.0	43.3	56.7	10.5	13.9	113,291	148,649
Minneapolis Foundation	49.5	50.5	43.2	56.8	13.7	17.7	333,495	438,783
Cape Cod Fndtn.	47.6	52.4	43.1	56.9	24.3	29.1	31,034	40,973
C.F. of Bartholomew Cnty	49.1	50.9	43.1	56.9	14.4	18.3	5,048	6,651
Maine C.F.	48.7	51.3	43.0	57.0	16.5	20.7	102,460	135,639
S. MN Initiative Fndtn.	49.6	50.4	43.0	57.0	15.8	20.5	53,364	70,763
San Francisco Fndtn.	49.5	50.5	42.9	57.1	13.4	17.4	272,544	362,639
California C.F.	49.4	50.6	42.8	57.2	11.2	14.7	527,499	705,937
Topeka C.F.	48.4	51.6	42.3	57.7	15.6	19.9	12,804	17,462
Rochester Area C.F.	48.6	51.4	42.1	57.9	14.5	18.8	77,112	105,911


Foundation	% of Total		% of 60+		% who are 60+		Total 60+	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Fndtn. for the Mid South	48.5	51.5	42.0	58.0	14.2	18.4	687,603	948,166
Saint Paul Fndtn.	48.9	51.1	42.0	58.0	10.9	14.3	56,699	78,153
Grand Rapids C.F.	49.2	50.8	41.9	58.1	11.4	15.3	32,282	44,775
C.F. of Broward	48.3	51.7	41.8	58.2	17.2	22.3	134,384	187,279
Montgomery County Fndtn.	48.3	51.7	41.8	58.2	16.4	21.3	59,341	82,474
C.F. For SE Michigan	48.7	51.3	41.7	58.3	13.1	17.4	307,700	430,408
Kalamazoo C.F.	48.4	51.6	41.7	58.3	12.7	16.7	14,705	20,550
Dallas Foundation	49.9	50.1	41.6	58.4	9.2	12.8	101,641	142,417
Princeton Area C.F.	48.7	51.3	41.5	58.5	13.9	18.6	23,673	33,416
Chicago Community Trust	48.4	51.6	41.0	59.0	13.0	17.6	339,565	488,920
Baltimore C.F.	47.1	52.9	40.7	59.3	15.3	19.9	106,575	155,413
Delaware County C.F.	47.7	52.3	40.5	59.5	16.4	21.9	43,076	63,212
New York Community Trust	47.4	52.6	39.9	60.1	13.2	17.9	499,891	752,315
Aggregate of all listed	48.9	51.1	42.4	57.6	13.6	17.7	4,849,048	6,590,896
Nation as a whole	49.1	50.9	42.7	57.3	14.2	18.3	19,546,252	26,250,948

 **Race**

 **Ethnicity**

 **Disability Status**

Percent Disabled, of Population and By Age Range



**All Foundations,
by Percent of 65+ Disabled
(2000 Census)**


Foundation	% of Population Disabled	% of those 5-64 Disabled	% of those 65+ Disabled	# 65+ and Disabled
Fndtn. for the Mid South	22.8	18.8	49.3	577,292
New York Community Trust	24.5	21.5	46.2	417,084
Kalamazoo C.F.	18.6	15.5	46.1	3,362
C.F. of Bartholomew County	18.1	14.1	46.0	3,738
California C.F.	20.4	17.6	44.8	399,903
New Mexico C.F.	20.4	16.9	44.8	92,015
Humboldt Area Fndtn.	22.0	18.5	44.3	9,307
Baltimore C.F.	22.0	18.4	43.6	85,615
C.F. For SE Michigan	18.8	15.4	43.0	235,660
Dallas Foundation	19.5	17.3	42.8	72,304
Chicago Community Trust	19.7	16.5	42.6	257,006
Topeka C.F.	20.0	16.4	41.7	9,088
Oregon C.F.	18.8	15.3	41.5	175,929
C.F. of Broward	20.6	16.4	41.1	104,696
Maine C.F.	20.0	16.4	41.1	71,901
C.F. of the Eastern Shore	20.6	16.8	40.3	11,186
Arizona C.F.	19.3	16.0	39.7	259,521
San Francisco Fndtn.	17.1	14.1	39.7	224,066
Princeton Area C.F.	17.1	13.8	39.4	16,445
Rose C.F.	16.2	13.8	39.1	72,702
Northland Fndtn.	18.2	14.1	38.6	19,265
Grand Rapids C.F.	16.3	13.7	38.0	21,261
Minneapolis Foundation	15.0	12.0	36.9	204,204
Rochester Area C.F.	17.4	14.5	36.8	49,005
Delaware County C.F.	17.2	13.6	36.5	29,391
S. MN Initiative Fndtn.	15.0	11.5	36.1	32,612
Saint Paul Fndtn.	13.9	11.5	36.0	34,436
Gulf Coast C.F. Venice	23.0	18.0	33.1	48,532
Montgomery County Fndtn.	13.8	10.5	32.1	33,494
Cape Cod Fndtn.	20.6	17.5	31.4	18,012
Aggregate of all listed	19.9	16.7	42.6	3,554,596
Nation as a whole	19.3	16.0	41.9	13,978,118

CULTURAL DEMOGRAPHY



Language


Linguistic Distribution of Full Population




**All Foundations,
by Percent of Population who Speak Non-English
(2000 Census)**

Foundation	% Speak English Only	% Speak Non-English	# Speak Non-English
California C.F.	45.9	54.1	5,149,962
New York Community Trust	52.4	47.6	3,811,940
New Mexico C.F.	63.5	36.5	663,952
Dallas Foundation	67.5	32.5	721,142
Chicago Community Trust	69.2	30.8	1,656,036
C.F. of Broward	71.2	28.8	467,429
San Francisco Fndtn.	71.6	28.4	1,474,649
Arizona C.F.	74.1	25.9	1,328,834
Princeton Area C.F.	79.8	20.2	70,854
Rose C.F.	82.8	17.2	368,989
Oregon C.F.	87.9	12.1	413,989
Saint Paul Fndtn.	88.8	11.2	119,257
C.F. For SE Michigan	89.0	11.0	532,995
Grand Rapids C.F.	89.8	10.2	58,582
Gulf Coast C.F. Venice	90.2	9.8	45,839
Rochester Area C.F.	90.4	9.6	105,765
Montgomery County Fndtn.	90.4	9.6	72,009
Delaware County C.F.	90.7	9.3	51,230
Kalamazoo C.F.	91.0	9.0	6,943
Baltimore C.F.	91.4	8.6	126,295
Minneapolis Foundation	91.5	8.5	418,156
Humboldt Area Fndtn.	91.8	8.2	13,731

Foundation	% Speak English Only	% Speak Non-English	# Speak Non-English
Maine C.F.	92.2	7.8	99,444
Cape Cod Fndtn.	93.0	7.0	18,242
S. MN Initiative Fndtn.	93.2	6.8	46,643
Fndtn. for the Mid South	93.5	6.5	647,223
Topeka C.F.	93.9	6.1	10,362
C.F. of Bartholomew County	94.7	5.3	3,786
C.F. of the Eastern Shore	95.0	5.0	9,396
Northland Fndtn.	95.3	4.7	15,010
Aggregate of all listed	74.5	25.5	18,528,684
Nation as a whole	82.1	17.9	50,374,521

 **Citizenship**

Citizenship Status of Full Population




**All Foundations,
by Percent of Population Foreign Born
(2000 Census)**

Foundation	% Foreign Born	% Citizens
California C.F.	36.2	77.5
New York Community Trust	35.9	80.1
C.F. of Broward	25.3	86.0
San Francisco Fndtn.	21.7	68.2
Dallas Foundation	20.9	83.7
Chicago Community Trust	19.8	88.0
Princeton Area C.F.	13.9	91.9
Arizona C.F.	12.8	91.0
Rose C.F.	11.0	92.4
Gulf Coast C.F. Venice	8.9	95.7
Oregon C.F.	8.5	94.4


Foundation	% Foreign Born	% Citizens
New Mexico C.F.	8.2	94.6
C.F. For SE Michigan	7.7	96.0
Saint Paul Fndtn.	7.4	95.5
Montgomery County Fndtn.	7.0	96.8
Delaware County C.F.	6.7	96.9
Grand Rapids C.F.	6.6	95.5
Baltimore C.F.	5.8	97.2
Rochester Area C.F.	5.7	97.4
Minneapolis Foundation	5.3	96.7
Kalamazoo C.F.	5.2	96.2
Cape Cod Fndtn.	5.0	97.5
Humboldt Area Fndtn.	4.5	97.5
C.F. of Bartholomew County	3.8	97.3
S. MN Initiative Fndtn.	3.6	97.6
C.F. of the Eastern Shore	3.1	98.3
Maine C.F.	2.9	98.7
Topeka C.F.	2.7	98.3
Fndtn. for the Mid South	2.3	98.6
Northland Fndtn.	1.9	99.3
Aggregate of all listed	16.7	90.0
Nation as a whole	11.1	93.4

 **Education Level**

Education Levels of 25+ Population



Education Levels of 65+ Population



**All Foundations,
by Percent of 65+ with more than a B.A.
(2000 Census)**


Foundation	Percent of 25+					Percent of 65+				
	No diploma	H.S. or Equiv.	Some College or A.A.	B.A.	More than B.A.	No diploma	H.S. or Equiv.	Some College or A.A.	B.A.	More than B.A.
Cape Cod Fndtn.	5.4	16.4	20.0	12.6	7.0	14.2	32.5	25.9	17.5	12.3
Gulf Coast C.F. Venice	7.0	16.2	16.5	7.7	4.1	18.5	32.9	24.8	13.9	9.8

Foundation	Percent of 25+					Percent of 65+				
	No diploma	H.S. or Equiv.	Some College or A.A.	B.A.	More than B.A.	No diploma	H.S. or Equiv.	Some College or A.A.	B.A.	More than B.A.
Princeton Area C.F.	9.3	15.3	17.7	12.2	9.1	37.2	31.0	13.0	8.6	9.8
Montgomery County Fndtn.	5.0	15.5	15.8	15.2	9.4	27.7	34.4	16.3	12.0	9.6
San Francisco Fndtn.	8.8	11.8	20.3	15.9	8.4	27.1	27.3	22.2	14.2	9.3
Rose C.F.	9.3	14.1	20.5	14.7	6.6	23.3	30.4	23.7	13.9	8.6
New Mexico C.F.	11.9	16.5	19.1	7.6	5.2	35.2	26.4	19.7	10.2	8.6
Arizona C.F.	11.9	14.3	21.2	8.7	4.3	24.9	29.7	26.3	11.2	7.9
New York Community Trust	16.0	15.3	15.3	10.7	6.8	43.3	28.6	12.5	7.8	7.9
California C.F.	18.6	11.8	17.6	9.5	4.7	35.0	24.5	21.9	10.9	7.6
Topeka C.F.	7.2	19.6	19.0	10.5	4.8	21.8	40.1	19.7	10.8	7.6
Dallas Foundation	17.3	14.1	17.0	10.8	5.0	31.5	25.6	22.0	12.8	7.5
Delaware County C.F.	5.8	18.3	17.3	11.5	6.8	29.4	38.5	14.9	10.0	7.3
Oregon C.F.	9.0	15.9	22.7	10.1	4.8	25.3	32.6	24.8	10.2	7.1
Saint Paul Fndtn.	5.4	14.6	21.7	14.9	6.4	24.5	37.1	20.5	11.1	7.0
Baltimore C.F.	11.0	17.4	17.7	9.6	6.3	43.1	28.1	14.2	7.9	6.7
Maine C.F.	7.3	22.1	18.7	9.4	4.5	30.8	36.9	16.8	9.1	6.5
Rochester Area C.F.	7.8	16.8	20.4	10.1	6.4	32.1	34.5	17.1	9.8	6.4
C.F. of Broward	10.0	16.1	19.2	10.0	5.1	28.2	35.8	20.5	8.8	6.4
Chicago Community Trust	12.8	14.6	17.6	11.2	6.3	36.5	30.4	18.3	8.5	6.4
C.F. For SE Michigan	9.0	16.9	20.9	9.7	5.6	36.6	33.1	17.0	7.3	6.0
Grand Rapids C.F.	8.8	16.5	20.8	10.7	4.5	31.5	35.0	19.5	8.5	5.8
C.F. of the Eastern Shore	10.2	22.1	18.1	7.4	3.8	38.7	33.0	15.6	7.5	5.5
Humboldt Area Fndtn.	10.3	16.2	25.3	8.3	3.5	28.0	31.3	26.0	9.8	5.4
Minneapolis Foundation	5.9	16.7	22.3	12.1	4.8	31.3	35.6	18.7	9.0	5.3
Kalamazoo C.F.	7.7	14.0	31.9	10.2	5.8	7.9	7.2	5.0	3.5	5.0
Fndtn. for the Mid South	13.3	19.8	17.6	6.9	3.3	45.2	28.0	14.9	7.1	4.9
Northland Fndtn.	5.7	18.7	24.3	8.4	3.3	31.9	37.9	17.4	8.2	4.7
C.F. of Bartholomew County	9.0	21.5	17.2	8.7	5.0	31.4	40.8	17.7	6.3	4.6
S. MN Initiative Fndtn.	6.1	18.4	22.7	9.2	3.8	35.3	36.7	16.5	6.7	4.5
Aggregate of all listed	12.1	15.6	18.9	10.3	5.4	34.3	30.1	18.9	9.6	7.0
Nation as a whole	10.8	17.3	19.2	9.6	5.0	34.5	32.0	18.1	8.9	6.4




Marital Status

Percent Distribution of Marital Status for those 25-59



Percent Distribution of Marital Status for those 60 +



**All Foundations,
by Percent of 60+ Now Married
(2000 Census)**


Foundation	Never Married		Now Married		Widowed		Divorced	
	% of 25-59	% of 60+	% of 25-59	% of 60+	% of 25-59	% of 60+	% of 25-59	% of 60+
Gulf Coast C.F. Venice	25.8	2.4	57.8	68.7	1.8	22.4	14.7	6.5
Montgomery County Fndtn.	30.3	4.3	61.2	65.3	1.2	23.7	7.3	6.7
S. MN Initiative Fndtn.	33.0	4.4	57.8	64.7	0.8	25.8	8.4	5.2
Arizona C.F.	32.6	2.8	54.7	64.5	1.2	23.0	11.5	9.7
C.F. of Bartholomew County	23.3	2.7	64.1	64.1	1.0	24.0	11.7	9.2
Cape Cod Fndtn.	31.1	4.7	55.6	64.1	1.4	22.6	11.9	8.6
Minneapolis Foundation	33.9	4.9	56.1	62.2	0.9	25.7	9.1	7.2
Oregon C.F.	31.0	2.9	56.0	61.5	1.1	24.9	11.9	10.7
Northland Fndtn.	32.4	4.4	55.6	61.1	1.1	26.8	11.0	7.8
C.F. of the Eastern Shore	35.1	3.7	53.9	61.0	1.4	28.2	9.6	7.1
New Mexico C.F.	33.5	3.9	53.3	60.7	1.3	24.7	11.9	10.7
Saint Paul Fndtn.	34.1	5.2	55.8	60.6	0.8	24.9	9.3	9.3
Rose C.F.	32.1	3.7	55.4	60.1	1.0	23.9	11.5	12.2
Maine C.F.	29.7	4.6	57.0	59.5	1.1	26.9	12.2	9.0

Foundation	Never Married		Now Married		Widowed		Divorced	
	% of 25-59	% of 60+	% of 25-59	% of 60+	% of 25-59	% of 60+	% of 25-59	% of 60+
Grand Rapids C.F.	34.7	4.8	54.6	59.5	0.9	26.8	9.9	8.9
Topeka C.F.	30.2	3.6	55.3	59.0	1.3	26.7	13.2	10.7
Fndtn. for the Mid South	32.4	3.8	54.9	58.4	1.7	29.8	11.0	8.1
Rochester Area C.F.	35.0	5.6	54.6	58.2	1.2	28.4	9.3	7.8
Humboldt Area Fndtn.	35.3	3.5	50.1	57.6	1.4	26.7	13.2	12.2
Dallas Foundation	34.4	3.6	53.9	57.4	1.2	27.5	10.5	11.4
San Francisco Fndtn.	37.5	6.3	52.1	57.3	1.1	25.8	9.4	10.6
California C.F.	39.7	6.4	51.1	56.2	1.3	26.0	7.9	11.4
C.F. For SE Michigan	35.8	5.0	52.3	55.8	1.3	29.3	10.5	10.0
C.F. of Broward	33.1	4.1	53.3	55.5	1.3	29.9	12.3	10.4
Princeton Area C.F.	35.9	6.2	55.2	55.5	1.3	29.6	7.6	8.6
Delaware County C.F.	37.5	7.0	53.7	55.3	1.3	31.2	7.5	6.4
Chicago Community Trust	41.3	7.4	48.9	53.0	1.5	30.3	8.4	9.3
Baltimore C.F.	41.8	6.6	46.7	52.4	1.7	31.7	9.8	9.3
Kalamazoo C.F.	53.0	7.5	36.3	50.9	0.9	27.9	9.8	13.7
New York Community Trust	44.1	11.0	46.9	50.8	1.7	29.0	7.4	9.2
Aggregate of all listed	36.3	5.5	52.7	57.8	1.3	27.3	9.7	9.4
Nation as a whole	33.0	4.5	55.7	59.8	1.3	27.1	10.0	8.6



Veteran Status

Percent Veteran, by Age Range



All Foundations,
by Percent of Civilian Population 65+ who are Veterans
(2000 Census)


Foundation	Percent who are Veterans			Count of Veterans	
	Of 18+	Of 18-64	Of 65+	Total	65+
Cape Cod Fndtn.	16.7	11.6	34.1	36,541	20,316
Gulf Coast C.F. Venice	20.7	12.8	33.1	81,167	50,257
Arizona C.F.	15.0	11.2	32.9	562,916	219,380
Humboldt Area Fndtn.	15.2	11.8	32.6	19,511	7,026
Topeka C.F.	15.9	12.3	31.9	20,190	7,439
Oregon C.F.	15.1	11.8	31.1	388,990	136,399

Foundation	Percent who are Veterans			Count of Veterans	
	Of 18+	Of 18-64	Of 65+	Total	65+
Northland Fndtn.	16.0	11.9	31.0	39,775	16,645
Rose C.F.	13.0	10.5	30.9	206,987	59,683
New Mexico C.F.	14.7	11.5	30.8	190,718	65,463
Maine C.F.	15.9	12.6	30.2	154,590	55,434
Saint Paul Fndtn.	12.0	9.4	29.8	93,153	30,140
Montgomery County Fndtn.	12.4	8.1	29.7	70,232	33,238
C.F. of the Eastern Shore	15.2	11.6	29.3	21,893	8,555
Delaware County C.F.	12.9	8.7	29.0	53,423	24,900
Minneapolis Foundation	12.8	9.8	28.4	464,968	168,412
C.F. of Bartholomew County	13.2	10.1	28.4	6,909	2,475
Grand Rapids C.F.	11.1	8.2	28.1	45,535	16,771
Rochester Area C.F.	12.1	9.0	27.0	99,040	38,211
Baltimore C.F.	12.5	9.3	26.9	139,341	54,884
Fndtn. for the Mid South	12.6	9.9	26.5	923,631	328,306
Dallas Foundation	9.4	7.3	26.4	150,632	46,939
C.F. For SE Michigan	11.5	8.7	26.2	409,514	148,707
S. MN Initiative Fndtn.	12.9	9.8	25.9	65,538	25,219
Princeton Area C.F.	10.4	7.3	25.8	27,688	11,334
San Francisco Fndtn.	7.6	7.2	25.3	300,086	148,058
C.F. of Broward	11.5	7.9	24.8	142,575	64,705
Chicago Community Trust	8.9	6.1	23.6	352,791	148,636
California C.F.	7.5	5.2	21.9	510,712	203,015
Kalamazoo C.F.	8.0	6.0	21.7	4,884	1,675
New York Community Trust	5.7	3.8	16.5	348,722	155,019
Aggregate of all listed	11.0	8.1	26.0	5,932,652	2,267,101
Nation as a whole	12.7	9.7	27.6	26,403,703	9,663,509

ECONOMIC DEMOGRAPHY

\$ Employment Status

Percent Employed, by Age Range




Employment Status for All Foundations, by Percent of 60+ Employed (2000 Census)

Foundation	Percent Employed					Count Employed	
	16+	16-59	60+	Males 60+	Female 60+	Males 60+	Female 60+
Dallas Foundation	63.7	74.6	26.6	35.9	20.0	35,827	28,479
Montgomery County Fndtn.	65.3	86.1	25.5	34.6	18.9	20,586	15,580
C.F. of Bartholomew County	65.6	84.0	25.4	33.9	18.7	1,772	1,266
Rose C.F.	68.8	81.7	24.8	32.3	19.1	36,167	28,412
S. MN Initiative Fndtn.	67.4	88.0	23.5	31.2	17.7	16,608	12,532
Saint Paul Fndtn.	71.2	85.5	23.1	29.0	18.9	16,407	14,797
Minneapolis Foundation	68.2	85.7	22.4	28.5	17.7	94,588	77,847
Princeton Area C.F.	60.5	76.2	22.1	28.2	17.8	6,630	5,923
Topeka C.F.	64.1	83.1	22.1	27.1	18.6	3,406	3,265
San Francisco Fndtn.	62.7	77.7	21.9	28.7	20.9	77,860	76,171
Chicago Community Trust	58.6	73.3	21.2	27.8	16.7	94,020	81,708
C.F. of the Eastern Shore	58.5	78.4	20.9	26.6	16.6	4,362	3,576
Grand Rapids C.F.	67.3	81.9	20.8	29.2	14.8	9,326	6,605
California C.F.	55.5	67.1	20.8	27.8	15.6	145,333	109,979
Cape Cod Fndtn.	56.9	85.2	20.0	25.4	15.9	7,939	6,579
Maine C.F.	61.8	80.9	19.8	25.6	15.3	26,458	20,844
C.F. of Broward	59.2	79.0	19.1	25.3	14.6	33,859	27,354
Baltimore C.F.	58.1	75.2	19.1	25.2	14.9	26,983	23,131
New Mexico C.F.	55.7	70.3	18.9	24.5	14.2	31,096	22,311
Fndtn. for the Mid South	55.1	70.1	18.7	25.3	13.9	173,755	132,150
Delaware County C.F.	56.5	83.1	18.7	21.8	16.2	1,206	1,084

Foundation	Percent Employed					Count Employed	
	16+	16-59	60+	Males 60+	Female 60+	Males 60+	Female 60+
New York Community Trust	52.2	65.2	18.6	24.5	14.7	121,347	111,463
Kalamazoo C.F.	59.0	69.6	18.4	25.1	14.4	900	861
C.F. For SE Michigan	61.0	76.3	18.3	24.5	13.9	75,476	59,889
Rochester Area C.F.	62.1	79.1	18.3	24.3	14.0	18,647	14,803
Oregon C.F.	60.9	77.4	18.0	23.7	13.6	59,353	43,513
Arizona C.F.	57.2	73.5	17.4	22.0	13.6	86,488	65,057
Humboldt Area Fndtn.	51.9	65.8	16.4	21.2	12.5	2,650	1,929
Gulf Coast C.F. Venice	46.4	85.6	14.3	17.4	11.8	14,398	11,864
Northland Fndtn.	57.5	78.2	13.8	17.0	11.4	5,075	4,364
Aggregate of all listed	58.9	73.9	19.9	26.1	15.3	1,248,522	998,539
Nation as a whole	59.7	75.7	20.1	26.6	15.3	5,187,919	4,031,281

\$ Industry

Percent of Full Population Employed, by Industry




Industry Distribution for All Foundations, by Percent 16+ Occupied in Manufacturing (2000 Census)

Foundation	Percent of Population 16+ Occupied in...				
	Total	Manufacturing	Agriculture, Forestry, & Hunting	Government	Other
C.F. of Bartholomew County	50.1	34.5	1.1	9.0	55.4
Grand Rapids C.F.	49.7	22.5	0.9	11.4	65.2
C.F. For SE Michigan	46.7	22.5	0.2	10.7	66.6

Foundation	Percent of Population 16+ Occupied in...				
	Total	Manufacturing	Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, & Hunting	Government	Other
Rochester Area C.F.	48.0	21.1	1.0	13.2	64.6
S. MN Initiative Fndtn.	52.4	20.5	4.9	10.9	63.7
Minneapolis Foundation	52.5	16.3	2.4	12.4	68.9
Montgomery County Fndtn.	51.2	15.0	0.2	8.1	76.7
Fndtn. for the Mid South	42.0	15.0	2.3	16.8	65.9
California C.F.	41.5	14.8	0.2	12.6	72.4
Saint Paul Fndtn.	53.7	14.7	0.4	13.4	71.5
Oregon C.F.	47.5	14.4	3.1	14.4	68.1
Maine C.F.	49.0	14.2	2.5	14.5	68.8
Chicago Community Trust	45.0	14.1	0.1	12.4	73.4
C.F. of the Eastern Shore	46.8	13.0	2.8	18.5	65.7
Kalamazoo C.F.	48.1	12.4	0.6	10.9	76.2
Dallas Foundation	47.7	11.9	0.1	9.7	78.3
San Francisco Fndtn.	50.8	11.1	0.3	13.2	75.4
Northland Fndtn.	46.0	10.3	1.7	16.8	71.1
Arizona C.F.	43.5	10.2	1.0	15.2	73.6
Delaware County C.F.	47.0	9.9	0.2	9.8	80.1
Princeton Area C.F.	47.5	9.6	0.2	20.6	69.6
Topeka C.F.	49.9	9.1	0.6	20.8	69.5
Baltimore C.F.	45.6	8.6	0.2	19.9	71.4
Rose C.F.	52.9	8.4	0.3	12.3	79.0
Humboldt Area Fndtn.	41.3	8.0	5.2	25.3	61.4
C.F. of Broward	46.8	6.7	0.3	11.7	81.3
New York Community Trust	40.9	6.6	0.0	16.1	77.3
New Mexico C.F.	42.0	6.5	2.1	22.7	68.7
Gulf Coast C.F. Venice	39.8	5.7	0.5	10.7	83.1
Cape Cod Fndtn.	49.6	5.3	0.9	14.4	79.5
Aggregate of all listed	45.3	13.2	1.0	13.9	71.9
Nation as a whole	46.2	14.1	1.5	14.6	69.8

\$ Occupations

Percent of Full Population Employed, by Occupation




Occupational Distribution for All Foundations, by Percent of 16+ Occupied (2000 Census)

Foundation	Percent of 16+ Occupied in...							
	Total	Management, Professional, & Related	Service	Sales & Office	Farming, fishing, & forestry	Construction, extraction, and maintenance	Production, Transportation, & Material Moving	Government Workers (Local, state, or fed)
Arizona C.F.	43.5	32.7	16.2	28.5	0.6	11.0	10.9	15.2
Baltimore C.F.	45.6	36.7	15.8	28.1	0.1	7.8	11.4	19.9
California C.F.	41.5	34.3	14.7	27.6	0.2	7.8	15.5	12.6
C.F. For SE Michigan	46.7	34.7	13.9	26.5	0.1	8.6	16.3	10.7
C.F. of Broward	46.8	33.3	16.3	31.0	0.2	9.8	9.3	11.7
C.F. of the Eastern Shore	46.8	28.6	18.4	26.2	1.5	11.1	14.3	18.5
Delaware County C.F.	47.0	39.3	13.3	29.3	0.1	8.4	9.7	9.8
Fndtn. for the Mid South	42.0	28.6	15.5	25.8	1.1	11.3	17.8	16.8
Grand Rapids C.F.	49.7	31.5	14.8	25.6	0.5	9.2	18.5	11.4
Gulf Coast C.F. Venice	39.8	30.5	19.6	29.7	0.4	10.9	9.0	10.7
C.F. of Bartholomew County	50.1	31.6	12.3	23.2	0.4	7.7	24.8	9.0
Humboldt Area Fndtn.	41.3	30.4	21.3	24.3	2.8	8.9	12.3	25.3
Kalamazoo C.F.	48.1	32.2	20.9	25.4	0.8	5.9	14.7	10.9
Maine C.F.	49.0	31.5	15.3	25.9	1.7	10.3	15.3	14.5
New Mexico C.F.	42.0	34.0	17.0	25.9	1.0	11.4	10.7	22.7
New York Community Trust	40.9	36.8	18.6	27.4	0.0	6.4	10.9	16.1

Foundation	Percent of 16+ Occupied in...							
	Total	Management, Professional, & Related	Service	Sales & Office	Farming, fishing, & forestry	Construction, extraction, and maintenance	Production, Transportation, & Material Moving	Government Workers (Local, state, or fed)
Northland Fndtn.	46.0	29.2	18.1	25.0	0.8	12.0	14.9	16.8
Oregon C.F.	47.5	33.1	15.3	26.1	1.7	9.1	14.7	14.4
Princeton Area C.F.	47.5	43.2	14.3	26.5	0.2	6.1	9.7	20.6
Rochester Area C.F.	48.0	37.1	14.5	25.4	0.4	7.2	15.4	13.2
Rose C.F.	52.9	38.7	12.4	28.9	0.2	9.9	10.0	12.3
S. MN Initiative Fndtn.	52.4	32.5	14.8	23.8	1.4	8.9	18.7	10.9
Cape Cod Fndtn.	49.6	34.1	18.0	27.1	0.7	11.9	8.2	14.4
Chicago Community Trust	45.0	35.2	14.0	28.5	0.1	7.1	15.1	12.4
Dallas Foundation	47.7	33.8	13.3	28.9	0.1	10.8	13.1	9.7
Minneapolis Foundation	52.5	35.8	13.7	26.5	0.7	8.4	14.9	12.4
Montgomery County Fndtn.	51.2	44.5	10.5	28.2	0.1	6.9	9.9	8.1
Saint Paul Fndtn.	53.7	40.0	12.6	28.3	0.1	7.1	11.9	13.4
San Francisco Fndtn.	50.8	43.1	12.9	27.0	0.2	7.1	9.8	13.2
Topeka C.F.	49.9	34.2	14.4	29.8	0.2	8.9	12.4	20.8
Aggregate of all listed	45.3	34.8	15.0	27.2	0.5	8.8	13.7	13.9
Nation as a whole	46.2	33.6	14.9	26.7	0.7	9.4	14.6	14.6

\$ Income Level

Income Levels of Full Population




**Income Data for All Foundations,
by Median Income of Population
(2000 Census)**

Foundation	Median Household Income[†]	Per Capita Income
San Francisco Fndtn.	63,393	25,235
Montgomery County Fndtn.	60,829	30,898
Rose C.F.	57,427	26,211
Princeton Area C.F.	56,613	27,914
Baltimore C.F.	53,984	22,054
Saint Paul Fndtn.	53,011	25,561
C.F. For SE Michigan	51,803	24,715
Delaware County C.F.	50,092	25,040
Minneapolis Foundation	47,111	23,198
Grand Rapids C.F.	45,980	21,629
Chicago Community Trust	45,922	23,227
C.F. of Bartholomew County	44,184	21,536
Rochester Area C.F.	43,967	21,627
Dallas Foundation	43,324	22,603
Cape Cod Fndtn.	42,991	25,597
California C.F.	42,189	20,683
C.F. of Broward	41,691	23,170
S. MN Initiative Fndtn.	41,584	20,272
Gulf Coast C.F. Venice	41,439	26,351
Topeka C.F.	40,988	20,904
Oregon C.F.	40,916	20,940
Arizona C.F.	40,558	20,275
New York Community Trust	38,293	22,402
Maine C.F.	37,240	19,533
C.F. of the Eastern Shore	37,071	19,538
Fndtn. for the Mid South	36,543	16,608
Northland Fndtn.	36,169	18,746
New Mexico C.F.	34,133	17,261
Humboldt Area Fndtn.	33,191	16,744
Kalamazoo C.F.	31,189	16,897
Aggregate of all listed	45,354	22,045
Nation as a whole	41,994	21,587

[†] Due to statistical complexities related to the weighting of medians (including polarity tests using randomized hypothetical parameters), medians for foundation communities reflecting more than one Census area (zip, city, County, or state) are crude averages of the medians for each such area.

\$ Poverty

Percent in Poverty, by Age Range



**Poverty Data for All Foundations,
by Percent of 65+ in Poverty
(2000 Census)**


Foundation	Percentage Living Below Poverty Level			Est. Count 65+ Below Poverty Level
	of Pop.	of those <65	of those 65+	
New York Community Trust	27.0	27.7	21.6	160,277
Fndtn. for the Mid South	37.1	39.9	19.7	129,715
New Mexico C.F.	22.6	23.7	14.7	26,341
Kalamazoo C.F.	32.2	34.9	12.7	823
Baltimore C.F.	15.8	16.3	12.7	22,183
California C.F.	21.8	23.0	11.7	93,555
Dallas Foundation	15.5	15.8	11.7	17,697
C.F. of the Eastern Shore	14.9	15.6	11.5	2,853
Chicago Community Trust	15.6	16.2	11.4	62,023
Maine C.F.	12.3	12.4	11.4	17,879
C.F. of Broward	13.0	13.4	11.1	25,558
Northland Fndtn.	12.6	13.2	10.0	4,545
S. MN Initiative Fndtn.	8.7	8.5	9.9	8,150
C.F. For SE Michigan	11.8	12.2	9.4	47,243
Princeton Area C.F.	9.5	9.5	9.2	3,513
Arizona C.F.	16.2	17.3	9.1	54,737
Minneapolis Foundation	8.6	8.6	8.9	45,405
C.F. of Bartholomew County	7.9	7.8	8.6	644
Oregon C.F.	13.1	13.9	8.2	32,120
Grand Rapids C.F.	9.7	9.9	8.1	4,188
Humboldt Area Fndtn.	24.3	27.2	8.0	1,549
Rochester Area C.F.	11.5	12.1	7.9	9,752
Topeka C.F.	10.6	11.1	7.7	1,557
San Francisco Fndtn.	9.5	9.7	7.7	40,342
Delaware County C.F.	8.7	8.9	7.7	5,747
Rose C.F.	8.7	8.8	7.4	12,801

Foundation	Percentage Living Below Poverty Level			Est. Count 65+ Below Poverty Level
	of Pop.	of those <65	of those 65+	
Saint Paul Fndtn.	7.3	7.4	6.4	5,795
Cape Cod Fndtn.	7.8	8.3	6.0	3,217
Montgomery County Fndtn.	4.6	4.5	5.4	5,353
Gulf Coast C.F. Venice	8.6	10.4	4.9	6,860
Aggregate of all listed	17.3	18.1	11.9	846,627
Nation as a whole	14.1	14.6	10.9	3,287,774

LIVING ARRANGEMENTS

Household Type

Percent of Household Type in Population




Household Type Data for All Foundations, by Percent of in Non-group Homes (2000 Census)

Foundation	% in Homes	% in Group Quarters
C.F. of Broward	98.8	1.2
C.F. of Bartholomew County	98.7	1.3
Rose C.F.	98.6	1.4
Dallas Foundation	98.5	1.5
C.F. For SE Michigan	98.3	1.7
Chicago Community Trust	98.3	1.7
California C.F.	98.2	1.8
Gulf Coast C.F. Venice	98.1	1.9
New Mexico C.F.	98.0	2.0

Foundation	% in Homes	% in Group Quarters
Arizona C.F.	97.9	2.1
Grand Rapids C.F.	97.8	2.2
Saint Paul Fndtn.	97.8	2.2
New York Community Trust	97.7	2.3
Oregon C.F.	97.7	2.3
Cape Cod Fndtn.	97.4	2.6
Maine C.F.	97.3	2.7
Minneapolis Foundation	97.2	2.8
Topeka C.F.	97.1	2.9
Baltimore C.F.	96.9	3.1
Fndtn. for the Mid South	96.9	3.1
Montgomery County Fndtn.	96.9	3.1
Northland Fndtn.	96.3	3.7
Delaware County C.F.	96.1	3.9
Rochester Area C.F.	96.1	3.9
S. MN Initiative Fndtn.	95.7	4.3
Humboldt Area Fndtn.	95.1	4.9
C.F. of the Eastern Shore	95.0	5.0
Princeton Area C.F.	94.0	6.0
Kalamazoo C.F.	87.6	12.4
San Francisco Fndtn.	77.9	1.5
Aggregate of all listed	97.7	2.3
Nation as a whole	97.2	2.8

 **Home Ownership**



**Home Ownership Data for All Foundations,
by Percent of 65+ Households that are Owned
(2000 Census)**

Foundation	Percent of All Households	Percent of 65+ Households	Percent of Households who are 65+
------------	---------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------------

	Owned	Rented	Owned	Rented	Owned	Rented
Gulf Coast C.F. Venice	80.4	19.6	89.7	10.3	49.4	23.4
Cape Cod Fndtn.	76.8	23.2	85.7	14.3	36.0	19.9
C.F. of Broward	69.5	30.5	85.0	15.0	31.3	12.6
Arizona C.F.	68.0	32.0	83.9	16.1	27.2	11.1
New Mexico C.F.	70.0	30.0	83.3	16.7	24.1	11.3
C.F. of Bartholomew County	74.3	25.7	83.0	17.0	22.4	13.2
Fndtn. for the Mid South	69.6	30.4	82.1	17.9	25.5	12.7
Humboldt Area Fndtn.	59.7	40.3	81.7	18.3	29.3	9.7
C.F. of the Eastern Shore	69.8	30.2	81.4	18.6	30.0	15.8
S. MN Initiative Fndtn.	77.2	22.8	80.4	19.6	24.7	20.4
Delaware County C.F.	71.9	28.1	79.5	20.5	28.8	19.0
Grand Rapids C.F.	70.3	29.7	79.2	20.8	19.9	12.3
C.F. For SE Michigan	71.8	28.2	79.1	20.9	22.4	15.1
Northland Fndtn.	77.7	22.3	78.7	21.3	26.9	25.3
Oregon C.F.	64.3	35.7	78.4	21.6	25.5	12.6
Montgomery County Fndtn.	73.5	26.5	77.6	22.4	24.9	19.9
Dallas Foundation	52.6	47.4	77.5	22.5	20.4	6.6
Topeka C.F.	67.4	32.6	77.4	22.6	24.9	15.1
Minneapolis Foundation	74.6	25.4	77.1	22.9	20.7	18.0
Rose C.F.	66.7	33.3	76.8	23.2	16.9	10.2
Maine C.F.	71.6	28.4	76.2	23.8	24.2	19.1
Princeton Area C.F.	67.0	33.0	75.6	24.4	24.9	16.3
Rochester Area C.F.	68.2	31.8	74.3	25.7	23.2	17.2
Saint Paul Fndtn.	72.2	27.8	74.2	25.8	16.4	14.8
Chicago Community Trust	57.9	42.1	72.3	27.7	25.6	13.5
San Francisco Fndtn.	58.9	41.1	72.0	28.0	22.4	12.5
Baltimore C.F.	60.2	39.8	70.5	29.5	26.6	16.9
California C.F.	47.9	52.1	67.6	32.4	24.3	10.7
Kalamazoo C.F.	47.7	52.3	64.2	35.8	23.1	11.7
New York Community Trust	30.2	69.8	40.1	59.9	26.4	17.1
Aggregate of all listed	60.6	39.4	73.5	26.5	24.6	13.7
Nation as a whole	66.2	33.8	78.1	21.9	24.8	13.6




Housing Costs



Household Size

Average Persons Per Unit




**Household Size Data for All Foundations,
by Avg. Persons Per Household
(2000 Census)**

Foundation	Avg. Persons Per Household	Avg. Persons Per Family
San Francisco Fndtn.	3.3	4.1
California C.F.	3.0	3.6
Chicago Community Trust	2.7	3.4
Dallas Foundation	2.7	3.3
Arizona C.F.	2.6	3.2
C.F. For SE Michigan	2.6	3.2
Delaware County C.F.	2.6	3.2
Fndtn. for the Mid South	2.6	3.1
Grand Rapids C.F.	2.6	3.2
New Mexico C.F.	2.6	3.2
New York Community Trust	2.6	3.3
Princeton Area C.F.	2.6	3.2
Saint Paul Fndtn.	2.6	3.2
C.F. of Broward	2.5	3.1
C.F. of Bartholomew County	2.5	3.0
Oregon C.F.	2.5	3.0
Rochester Area C.F.	2.5	3.1
Rose C.F.	2.5	3.1
S. MN Initiative Fndtn.	2.5	3.0
Minneapolis Foundation	2.5	3.1
Montgomery County Fndtn.	2.5	3.1
Baltimore C.F.	2.4	3.1
C.F. of the Eastern Shore	2.4	2.9
Humboldt Area Fndtn.	2.4	3.0
Maine C.F.	2.4	2.9
Topeka C.F.	2.4	3.0

Foundation	Avg. Persons Per Household	Avg. Persons Per Family
Kalamazoo C.F.	2.3	3.0
Northland Fndtn.	2.3	2.9
Cape Cod Fndtn.	2.3	2.8
Gulf Coast C.F. Venice	2.1	2.6
Aggregate of all listed	2.6	3.2
Nation as a whole	2.6	3.1

 **Grandchildren in Household**

Percent of Grandparents Responsible for Grandchildren



Grandchildren Data for All Foundations, by Percent of Grandparents Responsible for Grandchildren under 5 (2000 Census)

Foundation	Grandparents living w/ Grandchildren <18	% Responsible for..	
		Age(s) <18	Age(s) <5
S. MN Initiative Fndtn.	4,509	40.5	74.9
Northland Fndtn.	2,735	47.3	70.6
Minneapolis Foundation	45,217	39.1	70.1
Saint Paul Fndtn.	11,289	33.9	69.3
Oregon C.F.	51,169	43.2	67.9
Arizona C.F.	114,990	45.4	67.8
Topeka C.F.	2,622	51.5	66.8
Grand Rapids C.F.	7,254	43.7	66.5
Maine C.F.	13,053	38.9	65.5
Kalamazoo C.F.	1,002	46.2	63.9
Rochester Area C.F.	16,150	41.8	63.8
Rose C.F.	36,544	39.0	63.6
California C.F.	308,530	28.7	63.6
New Mexico C.F.	46,014	52.2	63.3
Dallas Foundation	58,182	45.3	63.1
C.F. For SE Michigan	94,887	40.3	62.7

Foundation	Grandparents living w/ Grandchildren <18	% Responsible for..	
		Age(s) <18	Age(s) <5
Cape Cod Fndtn.	5,984	30.9	62.1
San Francisco Fndtn.	112,482	30.1	61.8
Delaware County C.F.	10,319	34.5	60.2
Chicago Community Trust	154,253	38.1	59.7
Gulf Coast C.F. Venice	5,834	43.9	59.4
Fndtn. for the Mid South	264,292	56.3	59.2
Humboldt Area Fndtn.	2,674	54.0	58.0
C.F. of Bartholomew County	910	62.2	57.6
New York Community Trust	229,133	36.6	57.6
Montgomery County Fndtn.	10,226	30.8	56.7
C.F. of Broward	34,557	37.6	56.6
C.F. of the Eastern Shore	4,423	47.4	55.6
Princeton Area C.F.	7,141	35.1	55.3
Baltimore C.F.	42,710	47.3	51.4
Aggregate of all listed	1,687,796	40.3	61.5
Nation as a whole	5,771,671	42.0	61.5

Appendix A: Methodological Summary

This report is generated from a database with nearly one million cells[†] of information pertaining to the twenty core concepts in the report. Each of those concepts is measured with up to a dozen variables (subject to limitations discussed in Appendix D: Data Limitations, beginning on page **Error! Bookmark not defined.**). Those typically measures came from two separate surveys (discussed in Appendix B: Data Sources, beginning on page **Error! Bookmark not defined.**), one of which is interfaced through two dozen tabular forms, of dozens available. Those forms were repeatedly regenerated for the specific geographic areas covered by profiled community foundations (see Appendix C: Geographic Areas Covered), defined through 2,000 zip codes that encompass 76 counties, eight additional towns or cities, and six zip code areas not part of larger aggregations, as well as eight entire states.

The data used in preparation for this report was accessed, and are available, through web-interfaced direct access to data from the Diennial Census (such as through Fact Finder and its component subsites). Appendix E: Web References (beginning on page **Error! Bookmark not defined.**) provides an annotated list of these resources. Note that data in this report is not always in the same format as available in those tables, due to conversions such as to percentages as well as calculations of ratios unreported by the Census, such as the percentage of owned homes which are 65-and-over households. Moreover, confirming data for some measures is available, though was not considered, from supplementary files (such as from the Economic Census,

[†] Beyond columns of variables directly reported, and rows for geographic areas covered, additional cells were needed for data conversion, aggregation, validation, comparison, and for other methodological and administrative purposes.

which occurs every five years) and through HTTP and FTP access to raw data files.

Appendix B: Data Sources

Decennial Census

The bulk of the data is from the 2000 Census of Population and Housing, the 31st decennial United States census, enumerated on April 1, 2000. More specifically, most of the data come from the Bureau's Summary File 1, also known as "SF1". This file contains the data reported on the so-called "short form", a basic demographic survey. Because that survey is, at least in principle, distributed to all households, it is said to provide the "100 percent data" or "count data".

The Bureau also distributes a "long form" which takes additional measures, including marital status, language, education, income and poverty, industry and occupation, and veteran, disability, and employment status. This long form is submitted to only a sample of the population – roughly one out of every six households. The data, provided in "SF3" files, are thus weighted, by the Census Bureau, with each household in the file representing six or seven in the full population. (This weighting may generate inconsistencies, as noted in Appendix D: Data Limitations.)

Some additional data, and cross-comparisons of data, were provided by the Census Bureau's Demographic Profiles. Drawing on both SF1 and SF3 data, and available prior to the full data's release, these profiles provide general characteristics for a variety of geographic designations, including cities ("places" or "MSAs"), counties, states, and the nation.

In all instances, wherever possible, data was ascertained at the zip code level, according to lists provided by the community foundations (and itemized in Appendix C:

Geographic Areas Covered). The Census Bureau reports data through its own Zip Code Tabulation Areas (ZCTAs), which generally approximate U.S. Postal zip code areas; several related Bureau documents are noted in Appendix E: Web References.

American Community Survey

Atlantic Philanthropies, as well as the Community Experience Partnership grantees (including Grand Rapids Community Foundation), has a particular interest in those 60 years old and older. One set of Bureau presentations does focus on the 60-and-older population. However, these presentations – "General Characteristics for Population 60 and Over" – are derived not from the decennial U.S. census but from the American Community Survey (ACS), one of many Bureau projects which occur in the interim between decennial censuses.

Moreover, ACS data, as the Bureau notes, "is not available for a selected United States geography if its total population is less than 1,000,000 or if the population within the geography for the selected subject falls below a threshold of 65,000." While Census data was ascertained by zip code, in no instances were ACS data available by any of these zip codes, since those areas are below the ACS reporting threshold. For the many instances in which zip codes listed exhaustively covered one or more counties or states, attempts were made to acquire ACS data by these geographic aggregations. However, for only 11 of the 30 community foundations profiled were available for all geographic areas covered. (For an additional three foundations, ACS data were available for one or more, but not all, of the counties covered.)

In order to assess, as closely and comprehensively as possible, the population of interest, some profiles thus make use of 2005 ACS data in addition to the 2000 Census data,

although that data is *not* included in this profile, since Grand Rapids Community Foundation's geographic areas are not detailed in available ACS data.

Age-Based Census Data

Census Bureau data is typically available only at the level of geographic areas (block, tract, place, country, etc.). Except under unusual (and heavily restricted) instances, the data is not available at the respondent level, which would permit aged-based breakdowns of every measure in this report, broken at any particular age, such as 60.

A variety of Bureau products and presentation elements (primarily tables and maps) *do* provide breakdowns by age and a variety of other demographic variables. On occasion, data is available by narrower ranges, such as five-year increments, which permits a description of those 60-and-older, as well as a comparison of that group to others and/or to the entire population. More commonly, the threshold is 65, and narrower ranges are not provided that would allow reconfiguration around age 60; in these instances, 65-and-older data is presented (supplemented with 2005 ACS data, as noted below). In still further instances, 2000 Census data is not disaggregated or otherwise available by age at all; in these instances, the available, fully aggregated data are presented, supplemented with 2005 ACS data when possible.

Appendix C: Geographic Areas Covered

Foundation Name / Website	Project Title	Areas Covered
Arizona Community Foundation www.azfoundation.org	Good Work for Arizona	Statewide (Arizona)
Baltimore Community Foundation www.bcf.org	Baltimore Seniors as Resources (BSAR) Project	50 zip codes covering Baltimore County, Baltimore City, and parts of Anne Arundel, Carroll, Frederick, Harford, and Howard Counties, MD
California Community Foundation www.calfund.org	The Multicultural Dimensions of Civic Engagement Among Older Adults in Los Angeles County	212 zip codes covering Los Angeles County, CA
Community Foundation For S.E. Michigan www.cfsem.org	Community Experience Partnership	282 zip codes covering Livingston, Macomb, Monroe, Oakland, Saint Clair, Washtenaw, and Wayne Counties, MI
Community Foundation of Broward www.cfbroward.org	Community Experience Partnership: Engaged for Good	49 zip codes covering Broward County, FL
Community Foundation of the Eastern Shore www.cfes.org	Shore Wisdom Network Needs Assessment	57 zip codes covering Dorchester, Somerset, Wicomico, and Worcester Counties, MD
Delaware County Community Foundation www.delcocf.org	Redefining Middle Age	57 zip codes covering Delaware County, PA
Foundation for the Mid South www.fndmidsouth.org	From Destruction to Development	Statewide for three states (Arkansas, Mississippi and Louisiana), MS
Grand Rapids Community Foundation www.grfoundation.org	Joining Strength to Strength	36 zip codes covering Kent County, MI
Gulf Coast Community Foundation Venice www.gulfcoast.org	Saging Sarasota County: Increasing Civic Engagement	49 zip codes covering Charlotte and Sarasota Counties, FL
Heritage Fund - The Community Foundation of Bartholomew County www.heritagefundbc.org	The Civic Boom!	10 zip codes covering Bartholomew County, IN
Humboldt Area Foundation www.hafoundation.org	Community Experience Partnership	Zip codes covering Del Norte, Humboldt, and Trinity Counties, CA
Kalamazoo Community Foundation www.kalfound.org	Engaging the Wisdom of Older Adults	12 zip codes covering Kalamazoo County, MI
Maine Community Foundation www.mainecef.org	Civic Seniors	Statewide (Maine)
New Mexico Community Foundation www.nmcf.org	Community Assessments/Atlantic Philanthropies Aging Initiative Civic Engagement: Older Adults/Aging	Statewide (New Mexico)

Foundation Name / Website	Project Title	Areas Covered
New York Community Trust nycommunitytrust.org	New York City Community Experience Partnership	New York City, NY
Northland Foundation www.northlandfdn.org	Northeastern Minnesota Aging Initiative: Engaging Older Adults for Civic Good	115 zip codes covering 7 counties (Aitkin, Carlton, Cook, Itasca, Koochiching, Lake, and St. Louis, MN)
Oregon Community Foundation www.ocf1.org	Oregon Community Experience Partnership	Statewide (Oregon)
Princeton Area Community Foundation www.pacf.org	Civic Engagement and Older Adults: Mercer County, NJ, Assessment	43 zip codes covering Mercer County, NJ
Rochester Area Community Foundation www.racf.org	Connecting Seniors for Tomorrow's Community	159 zip codes covering 6 counties (Genesee, Livingston, Monroe, Ontario, Orleans, and Wayne, NY)
Rose Community Foundation www.rcfdenver.org	Boomers Leading Change	209 zip codes covering 6 counties in/around Denver (Adams, Arapaho, Broomfield, Denver, Douglas, and Jefferson, CO)
Southern Minnesota Initiative Foundation www.smifoundation.org	Town Meeting Initiative: Living Your Best Life "Communities Embracing Active Aging"	20 counties (Blue Earth, Brown, Dodge, Faribault, Fillmore, Freeborn, Goodhue, Houston, Le Sueur, Martin, Mower, Nicollet, Olmsted, Rice, Sibley, Steele, Wabasha, Waseca, Watonwan and Winona, MN)
The Cape Cod Foundation www.capecodfoundation.org	HOPE (Helping Older People Engage) for the Future	67 zip codes covering Barnstable County and parts of Nantucket and Dukes Counties, MA
Chicago Community Trust www.cct.org	Chicago Civic Engagement for Older Adults	159 zip codes covering Cook County, IL
The Dallas Foundation www.dallasfoundation.org	Civic Engagement of Older Adults in Dallas County	82 zip codes covering Dallas County, TX
The Minneapolis Foundation www.minneapolisfoundation.org	Fast Forward: Engaging Boomers for Civic Success	Statewide (Minnesota)
The Montgomery County Foundation, Inc. www.mcfoundationinc.org	Learners into Leaders: Engaging Elders in Personal and Community Change Through Civic Engagement	Montgomery County, PA
The Saint Paul Foundation www.saintpaulfoundation.org	Experience for Minnesota	3 counties (Ramsey, Dakota and Washington, MN)
The San Francisco Foundation www.sff.org	The Bay Area Legacy Project	5 counties (Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, San Francisco, and San Mateo, CA)
Topeka Community Foundation www.topekacommunityfoundation.org	Civic Engagement: Baby Boomers & Beyond	40 zip codes covering Topeka (in Shawnee County), KS

Appendix D: Data Limitations

While the methodological design of the Census is notably rigorous, several notable features of the available data may be of interest to readers of this profile. These include the sampling of respondents, the weighting of data, the availability of measures, and comparisons across time.

Sampling

Whereas the Census Bureau's data collection instruments are distributed to households, not persons, the generated data are thereby limited to the *household* population and exclude those elements of the population living in institutions, college dormitories, and some other group quarters.

Weighting

The process of weighting SF3 sample data – noted in Appendix A: Methodological Summary– enables that data to better reflect the entire population. However, that weighting process also results in some figures which do not match SF1 data, including some population totals, racial breakdowns, and housing measures. These differences become more pronounced at smaller geographic areas, especially anything below the county level.

Measures

Reasonable efforts were made to obtain applicable and appropriate data on a list of requested measures, for each of more than a thousand zip code, cities, counties, and states. However, some measures (eight of twenty-eight) were either not available or not readily available either by sub-state geographic areas, or for those aged 60 and older. These include four cultural measures (political status, political ideology, computer literacy, and religious

denomination), three measures of well-being (health status, health insurance, and access to affordable healthcare), and one economic measure (vehicle ownership).

The Census Bureau does not measure, and does not directly or indirectly cite others who measure, political status or political ideology. The Census also does not measure computer *literacy*. It does measure computer and internet use, as well as on health insurance, as part of the Current Population Survey, but only some state data, and non sub-state data, are available via the web data was not easily attainable. The American Religious Identification Survey is the leading source for data on religious denomination, and is cited by the Census Bureau, but is not publicly available by zip code. Health measures and vehicle ownership data are collected via the Bureau's Survey of Income and Program Participation, a multistage-stratified panel study with sample sizes as small as 14,000 and not available by zip code.

Comparisons

Longitudinal comparisons (across time) would require comparable data, preferably from the same source, from some other year. Many of the measures in this profile are also available in comparable files for the 1990 census, for example. Data from these files are also accessible via links provided in Appendix E: Web References.

While data in this report come from both 2000 and 2005, comparisons across the intervening time period are ill-advised. Data from the two years were collected via different methodologies and for different purposes. Moreover, age ranges are often not comparable. (Indeed, the reason for including 2005 data was to address the Partnership's focus on those 60 and older.)

Appendix E: Web References

Portals

Census Gateway (central access to all Bureau products)
<http://www.census.gov/main/www/cen2000.html>

Fact Finder (tables, maps, and reports in topical subgroups, for Census & ACS)
<http://factfinder.census.gov>

Hometown Locator (matching of zip codes and counties; basic demographics)
<http://www.hometownlocator.com/>

Data Files

SF1 Data
<http://www.census.gov/Press-Release/www/2001/sumfile1.html>

SF3 Data
<http://www.census.gov/Press-Release/www/2002/sumfile3.html>

Comparing SF1 and SF3
<http://www.census.gov/Press-Release/www/2002/sf3compnote.html>

Area Files

1999 U.S. Postal Service Zip Codes
<http://www.census.gov/geo/www/tiger/zip1999.html>

Zip Code Tabulation Areas
<http://www.census.gov/geo/ZCTA/zcta.html>

Gazetteer Files
<http://www.census.gov/geo/www/gazetteer/gazette.html>

Additional Topical Data

Age Data
<http://www.census.gov/population/www/socdemo/age.html>

Economic Census
<http://www.census.gov/econ/census02/>